Hi David:

As you now know, the publishers take a lot of time for these corrections/retractions. I suspect they have more pressing issues and/or have several of these to handle. I have learned to be patient and the accepted corrections for the Brain Sciences (tart cherry extract; 2021) paper indicates to me that given the time, we were able to make the needed corrections for the paper. We sent corrections for the Antioxidants (2021) the Histochemistry (2016) papers, which were not extensive, but have yet to be published. In terms of the last two papers MDPI papers (liraglutide and boron studies), the lag time might actually be to our advantage, as it is giving us time to start redoing these studies and, if given enough time, we could provide MDPI with extensive revisions, rather than having them retracted. Either way, these are all in the hands of the publishers at this point in time and, irrespective of what happens, we want to make sure we provide the accurate information about the efficacy of these treatments.

Gary

Gary-

Good morning. I wanted to let you know that I again contacted MDPI as we have not heard anything back on the two IJMS paper retractions. But, the good news is that your corrections to Brain Sciences paper (tart cherry, 2021) have posted.

Do you have any additional updates? How about the Antioxidants paper (2021) and the Histochemistry and Cell Biology paper (2016)?

Thanks for your help. I know it is slow going, but I’m glad we are making some progress toward getting everything cleaned up.

David W.
We uphold and honor the traditional lands of the Council of Three Fires, the Anishinaabe peoples, of whom the traditional Saginaw Chippewa nation is one.